

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/695,664 | 10/29/2003 | Tetsuaki Kato | 392.1832 | 4070 |
| 21171 75 | 590 10/12/2005 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | MARC, MCDIEUNEL | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3661 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 10/695,664 | KATO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | McDieunel Marc | 3661 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De | ecember 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>all</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ſ. | • | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Inforr | 3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/695,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited references Kazuhisa et al. in view of Kazuhisa.

•

Art Unit: 3661

As per claims 1-16, Kazuhisa et al., disclose a terminal effector 2 having a plurality of terminal effect elements is attached to a manipulator body 1, a discrimination signal inherent to the terminal effect element is transmitted from a discrimination signal transmitter receiver 3 to a control computing device 5 through a transmission device 4. The control computing device 5 reads a control parameter, corresponding to the discrimination signal, from a memory part 5a. Computation is executed based on a control parameter by means of a computing part 5b, and the working point of the terminal effect element is indicated to the control part of the manipulator body 1. When the manipulator body 1 completes control according to indication for the working point, a working point completion signal is transmitted to the terminal effector 2 and a discriminating signal corresponding to a subsequent discriminating effect element is transmitted to a control computing device from a discrimination signal transmitter receiver 3. Which covers claims 1-16, but Kazuhisa et al. has been silent about axes and calculation.

However, <u>Kazuhisa</u> in the other hand discloses a manipulator 1 is constructed of connections mad of given arm joint modules 2a, 2b,...2h. Each arm joint module is equipped with a motor for driving its axial shaft and with a controller 3a, 3b,... or 3h for controlling operation. The robot control device has coordinate transformation matrices for various axis-type arm joint modules and makes combination of these matrices on the basis of the constructional data of the manipulator 1 discriminated by an axis construction discrimination means 7 to calculate an amount of change in each joint angle which is necessary for a terminal effector 2h of the manipulator 1 to move up to a

next passage point, i.e., a position up to which it is to move by the next sampling time, in regard to each passage point determined by a trajectory calculation function 8 thus to send it to each controller.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the robot type of Kazuhisa et al., with the robot type of kazuhisa, because this modification would have complemented the Kazuhisa's et al. robot so that the use of axis could used as calculation through matrices, thereby improving the efficiency and the reliability of the robot system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3661

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Thursday, October 06, 2005

MM/